

E-QUALITY PROJECT

INTERMIDIATE EVALUATION REPORT

NAME OF THE ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT:

E-QUALITY PROJECT EVALUATION INTERMIDIATE REPORT

Lead Organisation: Bulgarian Chamber for Education, Science and Culture

Prepared by: Anselmo Caporossi – External Evaluator-Status: confidential

(not for external dissemination)



INDEX

1. Project Overview

- 2. Purpose of the Intermidiate Evaluation Report
- 3. Evaluation instruments and methodologies used

4. Evaluation of Project Progress Implementation

- 4.a Evaluation of the collaborative partners' meetings
- 4.b Ranking of satisfaction with and outcome of the meetings and events
- 4.c Project Management and coordination
- 4.d Project WP and activities Progress Evaluation
- 4.e Dissemination and Exploitation of project results
- 4.f Partners' co-operation
- 4.g Budget

5. Project Intermidiate Evaluation Findings

- Relevance Effectiveness Efficiency Impact Sustainability
- 6. Conclusion and Reccomandations

Appendix 1: Dissemination activities and events implemented

Appendix 2: Questionnaires submitted



1. Project Overview

Project No	EuropeAid/167975/DD/ACT/KG
LOT	Lot nº 2 – To promote use of digital technologies for civic and
	youth engagement
Project title	"E-QUALITY" Digital education for social and financial inclu-
	sion and gender equality"
Project Acronym	"E-QUALITY"
Name of applicant organization	Bulgarian Chamber for Education, Science and Culture (BG)
Partners	Public Foundation International Agecy of Quality Assurance - InAQA (KGZ)
Total project budget	€ 1.102.519,4
Project Duration	30 months
Planned project final date	31/05/2023
Project start-up date	01/12/2020
Used scale for assessment of	5 Excellent, 4 Very good, 3 Good, 2 Adequate,
project deliverables and tasks	

The present intermediate evaluation has been carried out to asses the activities implementation status, after twelve months since its starting date. The report examines the ongoing activity implemented up to date, in order analyze the project progress and its performances according to the targeted goals.

It was acknowledged that it is too premature to assess the project's impact during this evaluation, and the evaluation also took consideration of limited time for data collection, unavailability of key Informants.

In this context, is important to underline that the implementation of the planned project activities and the achievement of its main objectives, the **Capacity building of young migrants (including women) on digital skills, the Increase women's inclusion and the Development and promotion of social and educational policies**, requires a special attention and dedication that have a direct effect on the achievement of the expecte impacts.



2. Purpose of the Intermidiate Evaluation Report

Within the Evaluation Report purposes, the evaluator developed an evaluation framework, a peer review questionnaire and produced an evaluation report including a set of action-oriented recommendations.

The objective of the Evaluation Intermidiate Report, as specified in the Evaluation Plan, is to present in this stage a mid-line status on the progress of the project with a view on how the project, or elements of it, is proceeding and how is its level of achivement of the planned results, outcomes and deliverables.

This evaluation reviewed most project elements such as the use of resources, project implementation modality, collaboration and partnership, the achievement of outputs, outcome, and the level of outcome attainment. The findings and recommendations from this evaluation are intended for use by a variety of stakeholders including project management and senior management of the E-Quality project.

However, this evaluation did not assess the project's impact, as it was deemed too early to do so. Instead, the evaluation focused on achievement of the outputs and outcome based on the update version of the project work plan defined in the <u>Logical framework and Activity matrix</u> updated by the partners in march 2022. The document gave a new logical framework on the implementation of project activities and related outcomes that was driving the project implementation in these last 8 months, replacing the initial project structure based on Work Packages (WP).

Following this new framework, the intermediate evaluation process better meets the demands of the intended user groups and the tools and work processes provided, allowing to get data that are more comprehensively and fit more the project objectives and the expected results.

The final purpose of the Intermidiate Evaluation process is to underlying project cycle management, and specifically monitoring and evaluation, to help those responsible for managing the resources and activities of the project to enhance development results along a continuum, from short-term to longterm.



3. Evaluation instruments and methodologies used

A mixed method was adopted that included a desk review, key informant interviews (KII) or semistructured interviews, and an online survey. Consistent with the human rights-based approach, it was designed to ensure the participation of as many different stakeholder groups as was feasible in the time available, and to deliver a robust set of findings, adequately triangulated.

Four evaluation criteria were selected in consultation with the project team based on the purpose of the evaluation as follows: (1) Relevance, (2) Efficiency, (3) Effectiveness, and (4) Sustainability. In particular:

- **Relevance:** assessing to what extent the project's objective and intended results remain valid and pertinent to the needs of the beneficiaries either as originally planned or as subsequently modified.
- Efficiency: assessing how well human, physical and financial resources are used to undertake activities, and how well these resources are converted into outputs.
- Effectiveness: assessing the extent to which a project achieves its intended results, with particular focus on the attainment of the project's outputs and outcome.
- Sustainability: assessing to what extent the project's results have been/will be maintained for a certain period of time after the project completion by examining the level of acquired knowledge (output level) among the project stakeholders, and the initiatives (outcome level) carried out by either Partners teams in the two countries or by the governments or other stakeholders in the two countries as follow-ups of the recommendations generated by this project.

The overall approach of this evaluation is a "Utility-Focused Approach", aiming to enhance the likelihood of its intended users utilizing both the findings and the process itself to inform decisions and improve performance.

To ensure reliability of the data, three data collection methods were used: (1) desk review of the available documents and data, (2) Key informant interview (KII) with project partner teams and



stakeholders and (3) Online Survey with project partnrs and stakeholders who participated in both national consultation workshops and the dissemination events.

This evaluation employs both quantitative and qualitative approaches to data collection and analysis. The findings from document review and key informant interviews were analysed qualitatively by analyzing the description of documents and responses, while the results of the online survey were analyzed quantitatively. Then, the respective findings and responses were triangulated with one another.

Under each evaluation criteria, a rating was given supported by evidence collected from the respondents in the two countries, document review and the online survey explained in every relevant evaluation question. The two cross-cutting evaluation criteria, including Human Rights and Gender Equality were reflected in all four evaluation criteria.

The findings were analyzed by evaluation criteria on a scale from 1 to 5 in which each rating means the following:

Evaluation Criteria Scaling	Explanation
5 Excellent (Always)	There is evidence of strong contribution
	and/or contributions exceeding the level ex-
	pected by the intervention
4 Very good (Almost always)	There is evidence of good contribution but
	with some areas for improvement remaining
3 Good (Mostly, with some exceptions)	There is evidence of satisfactory contribution
	but requirement for continued improvement
2 Adequate (Sometimes, with many exceptions)	There is evidence of some contribution but sig-
	nificant improvement required
1 Poor (Never or occasionally with clear weak-	There is low or no observable contribution
nesses)	

This evaluation used a mixed method of quantitative and qualitative approaches in collecting and analyzing the information, involving both the collection of secondary data and primary data. The



following four data collection approaches are recommended:

- Desk Review
- Key Informant Interview
- Online survey
- Observation

Desk Review:

For the desk review, the evaluator collected, reviewed and analysed those already available information produced by the project team as well as other stakeholders, including project documents, periodic project reports, minutes of various meetings and workshops, and field observation reports, if any.

Online Survey

This survey was developed as part of the Project, in close consultation with the project management in both the two country offices. The target respondents for this survey were those who participated in the dissemination meetings, events and on the other implementation project activitie and tasks in the two countries. By practice, to avoid a low-response rate for such a survey, the survey was sent to 100% of the participants via e-mail and it was not take longer than 35 minutes to complete.

Key Informant Interview (KII)

For this evaluation, key informants were those who are managing the project, government officials, and members of the civil society organizations. To complement the survey, some of those who completed the survey were selected to take part in the key informant interview, in consultation with the project teams. A sample semi-structure questions was developed as part of the Evaluation process in close consultation with the project team.



Data analysis

Data analysis are a mixed method between qualitative and quantitative approaches. The results from the questionnaire survey are analysed quantitatively using the MS Excel with adequate infographics and discussions. Meanwhile, the data collected from the key informant interview are manually clustered and analysed in a more qualitative way. These two analysis approaches are mutually reinforcing thorough and comprehensive understanding on the findings.

4. Evaluation of Project Progress Implementation

4.a Evaluation of the collaborative partners' meetings

The evaluation questionnaire was developed online and the members of the project partners were asked to fulfil the questionnaire after the different meetings helded. The participants had possibility to fulfil the questionnaire online (no paper work and no postal costs). In addition, it was used the tool of "Observation" on the ongoing implementation of the different meetings on which the evaluator made several different questions (as interview) to the participants, by which he could get a clear vision and baseline to better evaluate the collaborative partner's meetings. The participants' satisfaction with the meetings in general (M= 4,33; range from 1: "Don't agree" to 5: "Totally agree") and especially with the organisational matters (as resulted from the observation M=4,50) was very high, as well as satisfaction with the results of the meeting (M=4,30). The participants gained orientation about all work packages and as about the next steps of the work process (M=4,30).

The evaluation questionnaire was developed online and members of the both partner's project management team were asked by email after the meeting to fulfil the questionnaire. It contained items for rating and open answers. The participants had possibility to fulfil the questionnaire online (no paper work and postal costs).

The participants got benefit from getting in contact and exchanging about work packages. It seems to be useful to be more precise about the agenda and time management of the further



meetings. In total, the members of the Project management team assessed the different project meeting helded till now as successful.

Summary Evaluation score:

Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score
Relevance	5
Efficency	4
Effectivness	4

4.b Ranking of satisfaction with and outcome of the meetings and events

The participants' satisfaction with the different project meetings and events in general can be considered satisfactory and especially with the organisational matters was very high as well as satisfaction with the results of the meetings/events.

Thus shows that the partners were able to involve and engage the target participants, raising their awareness and engagement of the project main topics and on the issues covered by the events and meetings. Thus represents a solid and valid baseline for the future project results sustainability and to foster a continuos engagement of the target participants in the different project activities.

In this context, the evaluation results were gained trough a tailored questionares, interviews with some of the participants (mainly with those ones from the partners organizations and other representatives of project key stakeholders) and trough the observation analysis.

Summary Evaluation score:

Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score
Relevance	5
Efficency	4
Effectivness	5

4.c Project Management and coordination

During the project, particular attention was paid to monitoring and evaluation of the various activities carried out. Each activity was in fact evaluated by a questionnaire or interview: the monitoring was carried out very punctually and was focused on achieving the intermediate objectives of the single actions of project.



The objective of the Management and coordination was to ensure the technical, administrative and financial implementation of the project, the proper performance and adherence to contractual terms, credibility and legitimacy upon the process. For this, it was established a management and coordination structure with planning and financial monitoring systems.

The project management tasks followed during the implementation of the project activities are those established and defined in the Partnership Agreement.

The project manager Prof. Preslav Dimitrov, appointed by the Bulgarian Chamber of Education, Science and Culture, was the responsible of the overall management of the project. Among its responsibilities were the following:

- Setting the project schedule and boosting the project actions
- Representing the project in events and with stakeholders
- Receiving and applying the recommendations of external experts
- Developing networking activities
- Support to dissemination activities
- Coordination of reporting
- Conflict resolution within the consortium
- Supervision of legal issues
- Organization of coordination meetings.

During this first stage of project implementation period different **Coordination Meetings** have been held. In these coordination meetings partners made a revision of the activities, deliverables and milestones, and discuss the main setbacks or limitations that may arise from technical or financial constraints.

Apart from these meetings, email communication is produced regularly to keep partners updated about technical and communication activities, to coordinate actions and to share information coming from the EC.

The Project management process was also assisted by longitudinal assessment that allowed checking the progress and the quality of the project according to the partners. Each activity leader was responsible for coordinating the activities according to the commitments and the



planned schedule. According to the defined Action Plan and the defined role and responsabilities, each WP leader is also responsible to inform the general coordinator in case of a change from the initial plan of action. The project management and coordination in

this first stage of project implementation, was facilitated by periodic videoconferences, using Zoom, and phone calls and by a constant updating of project documents during Dropbox, allowing a good flow of the progress of the project. The Lead Partner and the project coordinator has connally maintained strict contacts will all the project partners, ensuring a well day to day management implementation process and supporting the project partners to share different topics, issues and contents related to the project implementation and allowing them to better perform their tasks.

Each partner has received their advanced payment of their budget on time according to the established agreements. Besides the various activities carried out to meet the goals of the work plan agreed on, n.2 transnational project meetings held in order to exchange expertise and experiences on work-based training issues, to monitor the progress made and to agree on the next steps to be taken and the correspondent responsibilities. The first meeting was the kickoff mee⊠ng held online on 05/03/2021, where the project partners had the opportunity to get to know each other and learn about the partner organizations and their interest and special expertise in the different project topics and future tasks to be developed. The project meetings acted as an excellent opportunity to exchange of expertise and experience on the development of the first taks for the implementation of the project, with par⊡cular reference to the Monitoring, evaluation and dissemination activities and the definition of the structure of the ac⊡on plan. The evaluation focused on the different management actions have been carried out, with partic-

ular reference to:

Tasks	Purpose	Impletation stage	Evaluation Score
Partner Agreement	To establish a protocol of cooperation for the project implementation between pro- ject partners.	Done	5
Follow up reports	To facilitate to the partners the organization of the work to carry out each month and to	In progress according to the specific tasks	4



	Infiancial inclusion and gender equa	~	
	detect possible risks of delay with respect to	implementation	
	the initial schedule.		
Virtual Meetings	To strengthen project monitoring and	In progress	4
-	make joint decisions on relevant issues,	according to the	
	such as redistribu on of tasks, project	specific tasks	
	outputs and delieverables implementa 2 on	implementation	
	or budget issues		
Transnational Meetings	Organization of n. 6 face-to-face meetings,	n.2 meeting were	4
-	dedicated to networking, project manage-	done according to	
	ment, administrative aspects and reporting	the planned	
	obligations.	timetable	
	The overall objective of the meeting is to		
	disseminate outcomes of the project (re-		
	sults achieved) and share knowledge devel-		
	oped throughout its duraion, giving to the		
	par [®] cipants the opportunity to compare ex-		
	periences and exchange ideas with col-		
	leagues from all over Europe.		
Day to Day Managment	To monitor project progress against mile-	In progress	2
	stones, and regularly check with WPs lead-	according to the	
	ers the status of each ac2on to be carried	specific tasks	
	out by each single partner	implementation	
Monitoring Activities	Monitoring of the whole project activities	In progress	4
-	and tasks related to the different WP, in-	according to the	
	cluding project outputs and deliverables	specific tasks	
	and the quality of the process implementa-	implementation	
	tion		

Project Results and Outputs covered by the WP:

Tasks	Purpose	Impletation stage	Evaluation Score
Action Plan	Effective action plan stating actions to adopt and related responsibility in or- der to get expected results and accom- plish project objectives. It includes tasks, deadlines, resources allocated, in compliance with costeffective and sustainable management and moni- toring procedures.	Done	5
Communication Plan	The Plan delivers a detailed description of the communication system in- tended to assure smooth, effective and relevant communication flows	Done	5



8	1 2	
amongst different WPs leaders, part-		
ners, and bodies.		

The evaluator considered that the weak point of the Project Management and coordination is mainly represented by a not goog and sufficient performance by the project partners, especially the Kyrghiz partner, on Time management. Infact, the management of the time spent, and progress made, on project tasks and activities, especially regarding the planning, scheduling, monitoring, and controlling of all project activities and on the respect of the single deadlines for the delivery of specific project outputs and outcomes, was not always correctly erformed or respected.

The Evaluator considers that the project, till this stage, had a good management process implementation that allowed to realize correctly all the project actions and thus will be a fundamental and optimal pillar that will enable to fulfill the objectives of the project.

Summary Evaluation score:

Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score
Relevance	5
Efficency	4
Effectivness	2

4.d Project WP and activities Progress Evaluation

The performances of the work implemented by the partners demonstrated a full engagement and responsibility by each partner carrying out their owns tasks, with a good level of coordination by the Lead Applicant. The partners proved to be able to professionally manage the implementation of the different tasks and the project coordinator was able to assemble and summarize the different WP results in a common and shared vision, dealing with different cultural contexts and limitations. As specified in the section 2 Purpose of the Intermidiate Evaluation Report, the work plan has been remodeled from WPs to Logical Framework Activities matrix, during the project implementation process, with a more adherent definition of objectives better matching the expected results and outcomes. In this specific context, the evaluation process was carried out.



The level of effectiveness and efficiency of the work carried out, despite having achieved the preestablished objectives and results, is believed to have been lower than the other project activities carried out, due to the poor time management performed especially by the partner **Public Foundation International Agecy of Quality Assurance - InAQA (KGZ).** Despite a positive attitude and willing to performe the prject implementation activities with a good level of awareness and responsibility, the partner did not perform the different tasks and activities following a detailed and scheduled plan for the iorganization in advance of the events, in the elaboration of the results produced and in the supply of the evaluation questionnaires and materials.

The evaluation requested to be provided with a range of documents related to the different project WP's activities and outcomes for desk review that focused on what and how was produced. The evaluation process could overall assess that the InAQA could perform the planned activities and in some specific project outcome, able of achiving even a higher number of initially planned target outcomes. Thus showing a concrete increase by the partner of its level of awareness on the project purposes and its will to strong achieve concre, reliable, sustainable and good results. The follow table shows the results gained till the date of 30 November 2022, from the implementation of the different project activities, according to the Logical Framework and Activities matrix:

Results chain	Indicator	Target	Current value	Implementation Stage/Status
Outcome 1 . Capacity building of young migrants (including women) on digital skills	Availability of skills de- velopment policy for young migrants	1 Policy Study with recom- mendations is submitted to the government as per 31 st of May 2023	1 Policy study	Done Waiting for official recommendations from the Ministry of Education and Social Inclusion and migration
Outcome 1. Capacity building of young migrants (including women) on digital skills	Number of centres for support of migrants for professional training	1 Policy Study with recom- mendations is submitted to the government as per 31 st of May 2023	1 Policy study	In progress Waiting for a Memorandum of Understanding from the local Au- thorities of Naryn, Issyk-Kyulin and Osh regions
Outcome 2. Increase in women's	4 major adapted train-	9 trained modules were	The modules	In progress
inclusion and participation in civil	ing modules plus a set	identified for adapting on	are available	



	financial inclusio	n and gender equality	77	
life with sound entrepreneurship digital and leadership skills Outcome 3. Development and pro- motion of social and educational policies to support and expand fi- nancial and social inclusion, gender equality for vulnerable groups of young migrants, including women in the KR through the development of innovative digital skills	of 9 additional training modules, Digital platform for e- learning is set up and operational; (A multilingual, intelli- gent, educational online platform for or- ganizing the distance learning process (syn- chronous and asyn- chronous).	the basis of the question- naire survey The platform is opera- tional and it is available and accessible online	and accessible online in the single online platform Terms of Ref- erence are de- veloped. Crite- ria for selec- tion of the contractor are defined as per the EU stand- ards and a public pro- curement ten-	N.10 training mod- ules are produced in Russian and Eng- lish language and online in the plat- form system Done The platform is available and oper- ational at 95%. It is missing only the certification that doesn't influence on the operational capacity of the platform
Output WP1. The training needs of vulnerable groups of young mi- grants, including women and their family members for the develop- ment of new skills are identified.	Number of respond- ents involved in train- ing needs analysis	6 introductory and infor- mation meetings, face to face 15 workshops; 1 work- shop on quality), in total: 22 events	der is been an- nounced 10 introduc- tory, infor- mation and re- gional meet- ings; 4 workshops (including 1 in quality assur- ance in educa- tion); 3 round ta- bles; 1 international conference	Done It will will be an ad- ditional survey that will be comple- mented in the forthcoming months
Output WP1. The training needs of vulnerable groups of young migrants, including women and their family members for the development of new skills are identified.	Number of roundtables	6 introductory and infor- mation meetings, face to face 15 workshops; 1 work- shop on quality), in total: 22 events	 10 introductory, information and regional meetings; 4 workshops (including 1 in quality assurance in education); 3 round tables; 1 international conference 	Done It was realized n. 8 roundtables (n.2 more of what was initially planned)
Output WP3. Established the multi- lingual digital platform (and mobile	1. Number of self-as- sessment systems with		In order to achieve the	Done

÷ 3	
^***^	

financial inclusion and gender equality"				
application) with a catalogue of multimedia training modules, e- consulting, an interactive system for an assessment of the training mod- ule and personalyzed cyber mentor Output WP3. Established the multi- lingual digital platform (and mobile application) with a catalogue of multimedia training modules, e- consulting, an interactive system for	111anc1al inclusio elements of artificial intelligence 2. Number of Cyber-mentor systems for self-learning	n and gender equality	qualitative re- sult a sub – grant support is provided to an external or- ganization, which will de- velop the cyber-mentor system for self-learning. In order to achieve the qualitative re- sult a sub – grant support	It is in the testing stage and will be fynalzed till the end od December In progress It is in the stage of testing and devel- opment mode
an assessment of the training mod- ule and personalyzed cyber mentor			is provided to an external or- ganization, which will de- velop the cyber-mentor system for self-learning.	
Output WP4. Digital skills such as Digital Literacy, Big Data, Data ana- lytics, Data protection and Cyber se- curity including financial literacy, entrepreneurship, leadership and communications have been devel- oped and adapted to the needs of young migrants	Number of trained mi- grants	275 (gender disaggre- gated 75 female, 100 male (including 100 certified, i.e. acquiring qualification		In progress 75% of the target number have beedn trained
Output WP5 . Training hub is estab- lished, Alumni network is estab- lished, volunteers from TG-1, TG-2, TG3 are trained as the mentors for the future support of new migrants		275 (gender desegre- gated: 75 female, 200 male)		In progress N. 83 students of theidentified target group were trained
Output WP5 . Training hub is estab- lished, Alumni network is estab- lished, volunteers from TG-1, TG-2, TG3 are trained as the mentors for the future support of new migrants	Number of teachers trained and involved in the training hub			In progress Launched and in the stage of imple- mentation



Outcomes and Outputs WP Score Evaluation:

Outcomes and Out-	Relevance	Efficency	Effectivness
puts WP	Evaluation Score	Evaluation Score	Evaluation Score
Outcome 1. Availability of	5	4	4
skills development policy			
for young migrants			
Outcome 1. Number of	5	5	5
centres for support of mi-			
grants for professional			
training			
Outcome 2	5	4	4
Outcome 3	5	4	4
Output WP1. Number of	5	3	3
respondents involved in			
training needs analysis			
Output WP1. Number of	5	3	4
roundtables			
Output WP3. Number of	5	3	3
self-assessment systems			
with elements of artificial			
intelligence			
Output WP3. Number of	5	4	3
Cyber-mentor systems for			
self-learning			
Output WP4.	5	4	3
Output WP5. Number of	5		
students trained as men-			
tors and involved in the			
training hub			
Output WP5. Number of	5	3	3
teachers trained and in-			
volved in the training hub			

Summary Evaluation score:

Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score
Relevance	5
Efficency	4
Effectivness	3,5



4.e Dissemination and Exploitation of project results

The organization and implementation of Dissemination activities and events were responding as overall with was initially planned. The partners showed to be regularly active in this context and from the very start of project implementation, they used their own networks, websites, and communication channels to spread and disseminate at local and national level all the relevant information regarding the progress of the project.

The Dissemination activities used various forms of dissemination activities on regional, national and even international level as well as determing the standards for the communication with stakeholders. These standards secured the proejct's identity and included the use of a project logo and templates for publications and presentations. To support the activities of the partners, various dissemination material was prepared such as leaflets, roll-up banner. All partners were involved in the implementation of dissemination activities, appropriate consortium functioning, with regular reporting by the partners about activities implemented.

The dissemination activities and events implemented see the Annex 1.

Dissemination Activities and Eventes implemented Score Evaluation:

Outcomes and Out- puts WP	Relevance Evaluation Score	Efficency Evaluation Score	Effectivness Evaluation Score
Working meetings	5	4	4
Meeting with the Minis- try of Labour, Social Secu- rity and Migration of the	5	5	5
Kyrgyz Republic			
Meeting with the Deputy Minister of Education and	5	4	4
Science of the Kyrgyz Re- public			
Event with migrants	5	5	4
Study tour in Bulgaria	5	4	3
Methodological seminar	5	4	4
International Conference	5	5	4
Participant in Conffer- ence Ministry of educa- tion and science KR	5	4	3



Participant in Interna- tional Confference UNESCO	5	4	4
Press, TV	5	4	4

Summary Evaluation score:

Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score
Relevance	5
Efficency	4.5
Effectivness	4

4.f Partners' co-operation

Collaboration among the project partners has registered, in the course of the project, a very Good level of interaction with frequent and constant opportunities to meet phisacally and online for sharing of ideas and for project implementation of the different tasks and activities. Partners found the opportunity to discuss and share potential barriers, challenges and problems faced in order to ensure a correct activities and tasks implementation. This cooperation showed a good interaction between the project partners that periodically reinforced their cooperation, also trhough virtual tools, performing, in the meanwhile, a good level of monitoring activities. Thus showed also a high level of participation, of awareness on their responsabilities and on the will to achieve in the optimal way the planned results.

The evaluation in this context, was carried out mainly trough the tool of "Observation" during the different face to face and online meetings carried out, where it was possible to observe and assess the potential effects grow with accumulated experience among partners that can engender increasing trust among them, confidence in potential benefits, and increasing enthusiasm among the partnership. But these promising outcomes do not emerge only as a function of the passage of time and repeated interactions, but mainly by the wish of the project partners to reinforce their cooperatiove for the achievement of common goals.

Summary Evaluation score:

Evaluation criteria	Evaluation Score
Relevance	5
Efficiency	5
Effectiveness	4



4.g Budget

During this first stage of project implementation, an efficient budget management was implemented, respecting the different budget lines defined in the project proposal and the Partnership did not need to operate any changes in the approved project budget. All the expensive incurred by the partners were eligible and in line with the program guidelines and rules. The budget evaluation was carried out through a desk analysis of all relevant and related financial and administra[®]ve project documents, checking the methodology used by the partners manage the project expenses, the methods used by the partners to pay the incurred expenses and the transfer of money. As whole, the project budget was managed and performed according to high quality standards, respecting the main project financial and administrative rules and in line with the principles of transparency and diligence. All technical and administrative/financial issues were constantly shared and agreed between the partners that allowed to reach a clear, transparent and efficient project budget management.

Criteria	Yes/No	Description
Were any transfers among	No	N/A
budget elements?		
Were transfers among budget	N/A	N/A
elements that are over the limit?		
Is the budget still realistic?	Yes	N/A

Budget implementation and changes:

Assessment of expenditure deviation up to monitoring day:

Grade (1 – 5)	Assessment	Description
5	Excellent	Planned expenditures were made.

Matching project progress and expenditures, project budget effectiveness:

	Grade (1 – 5)	Assessment
Does project progress correspond to expenditures up to evaluation day?	5	The funds spent fully corresponding to the activities performed and prod- ucts.



Assessment of budget effectiveness up to evaluation day:

Grade (1 – 5)	Assessment	Description
4	Very Good	Excellent rate of budget effective-
		ness is achieved.

Summary Evaluation score of the Budget:

Evaluation criteria	Evaluation Score
Relevance	4
Efficiency	4
Effectiveness	4

Overall Evaluation Assessment

In all, the evaluation found the project to be relevant, effective and efficient, with satisfactory evidence of sustainability. The project exhibited responsible and accountable coordination and management, particularly in establishing fruitful partnerships with, and fostering high commitments among national/local government stakeholders and NGO/academia counterparts in all three countries. The table below summarizes the evaluation assessment per criteria:

Evaluation Criteria	Assessment
RELEVANCE	4 (out of 5)
EFFECTIVENESS	4 (out of 5)
EFFICIENCY	3.5 (out of 5)
SUSTAINABILITY	3.5 (out of 5)

5. Project Intermidiate Evaluation Findings

The analysis and evaluation carried out in this stage was based on the process to answer to a tai-

lored criteria questions compared to a certain Evaluation criteria, as follow:

Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Question
1. Relevance: assessing to what extent the project's objective and intended results remains valid and pertinent either as originally planned or as subsequently modified.	
	and the Migration Governance Framework?



	ion and gender equality
	1.3. To what extent this project was informed by needs and interests of diverse groups of stake-holders through in-depth consultation?
2. Efficiency: assessing how well human, physical and financial resources are used to undertake activities, and how well these resources are converted into outputs.	 2.1. Given the results achieved by the project, is it cost-efficient and providing value-for-money? 2.2. To what degree were inputs provided or available in time to implement activities? 2.3. To what extent the needs and priorities of marginalized individuals and groups were considered for the allocation and use of resources?
3. Effectiveness: assessing the extent to which a project achieves its intended results.	 3.1. To what extent were the project outputs and one outcome achieved according to the plan? 3.2. What were the major factors influencing (both success factors and failure factors) the achievement of the project's expected outcome, and how the project was able to adapt to those factors? 3.3. If any unplanned negative effects (e.g. related to environment, gender, human rights and governance, or others) on target groups occurred as a result of this project, and to what extent did the project management take appropriate measures? 3.4. To what extent a human rights-based approach and a gender mainstreaming strategy were incorporated in the design and implementation of this project?
4. Sustainability: assessing to what extent the project's results will be maintained for a certain period of time after the current project phased out by examining initiatives carried out by either in Kyrghisithan or by the governments or other stakeholders in the target country (Kyrgizisthan) as follow-up of the recommendations generated by the project.	 4.1. To what extent were target groups and possibly other relevant interest groups/stakeholders involved in the planning/implementation process? – questions towards ownership. 4.2. What is the level of utilization of the project's results among government and other stakeholders in the three countries? 4.3. Are structures, resources and processes in place to ensure that benefits generated by the project continue once the support for this project ends? 4.4. Do the target groups have any plans to continue making use of the services/products produced by the project?



Relevance

The project produced results that are relevant to the national development of the Kyrghizysthan that face issues of social inclusion of socially vulnerable groups of the KR such as young migrants and low-skills women and migration.

The project served to alert policymakers on the need to focus on social and financial inclusion of the young migrants and low-skills women. The knowledge produced till now by the project indicates that, to generate sustainable development outcomes for the project target groups in Kyrghizysthan, particularly those living in areas vulnerable situations, all stakeholders including the community, policymakers and local government authorities should understand the impact of migration on their livelihoods and wellbeing.

In addition, Policy Reccomandation Document (study) for Vocational and Digital Education, containing n.15 reccomandations, have been considered by project stakeholders as very important steps in helping the government to formulate policies, strategies and interventions that correspond to the identified issues.

Effectiveness

This evaluation criteria were rated as "Very Good, 4 out of a-5 scale" since all three outputs were fully achieved, and the outcome is in the process of being achieved – with the understanding that achieving behavior changes, particularly at the policy level, takes time. It was determined to be premature to attribute the project's contribution to the objective, and as such this was not assessed during this evaluation period.

The project had positive effects on the capacity of the project partners to organize themselves to carry out all the project activities and tasks according to the planned work plan and the quality standards setlled up. The modalities of implementation of the project in this first stage of implementation, regarding the whole project managment and coordina on, the dissemination activities and the implementation of the thecnical tasks (WP1.Development of recommendations/policy of the sustainable model of social and digital inclusion, WP2. Development/adaptation of training modules), can be evaluated with a high rate of effectiveness, responding to the expected



performances initially planned and respecting the good flow of the level of the project implementation. In this stage, is still early to evaluate the full project effectiveness, intended as measure of the extent to which the desired project outcputs and impacts are achieved. The evalutor considers that the results gained in this intermidiate stage, can constitute an important and clear baseline to define the future and final development of the project and, as consequence its effectiveness. In this consideration, what has been achieved so far and the setting and structure of the project management done, reveals an ideal premise to ensure the whole future effectiveness of the project and its results. The evaluation found that the stakeholders in general are satisfied with the findings and the proposed project actions and outcomes.

Efficiency

The efficiency was assessed as "Good, 3.5 out of a-5 scale" based on the ability of the project to deliver results with efficient use of the technical and financial resources compared to other similar projects and timely availability of the financial resources. However, the project could have had a better rating if there was no no-cost extension, and the additional activities were properly identified during the project design and properly monitored during its execution. Until now, all the planned activities have been implemented according the foreseen scheduling, up to date the output planned has been delivered on time. The monitoring activities have been carried by project partners on regular basis as per project monitoring schedule. The resources available for the implementation of the different project activities were efficiently administered thanks to the effective application of a well strctured project managment system, a decision making process and thanks to a constant monitoring process of the project progress implementation, by which the Lead Partner, in constant collaboration with the Bulgarian Partner, supervised the progress of each activity and the tasks and responsabilities that each partners was carring out, ensuring an optimal use of the available resources. Stakeholders were informed during the relevant meetings about the project and its rationale, and they did not express any dissatisfaction nor ask for clarification. At this stage, thus show that the intermediate project performances and results have



been achieved at reasonable cost with minimum waste of effort, time, money and skills, proving a good level of project efficiency.

Impact

The potential impact of the project could be good, however, at the present stage it is too early to assess the project real effects on target group.

Surely, the people involved in the project has increased the knowledge about Digital education for social and financial inclusion and gender equality, for the development of new skills on digital literacy, entrepreneurship and leadership of socially vulnerable groups of the KR such as young migrants and low-skills women and through creation and promotion of the sustainable model of social inclusion.

Sustainability

The sustainability of the project could be good, considering:

- the positive performances of the project activities and tasks implementation;
- the good effects that the could produce after the project conclusion.

In relation with the Sustainability of the consortium, partners have shown high level of satisfaction on the project and a good interest to further opportunity. This interest has to be considered a positive factor for sustainability and it could also represent a strong point for rising new opportunity after the project completion. Sustainability of the project results is considered "Good" as the project contributed to increased knowledge among the stakeholders, and even went further to target a larger audience through learning events, seminars, and conferences.



6. Conclusion and Reccomandations

The recommendations produced as result on the Intermidiate Evaluation, are mainly related to: (a) developing better implementation plans; (b) improving monitoring and reporting; (c) improving the overall project time management by the partners in order to delivery on time the necessary and requested project deliverables, data, information and outcomes, (e) improving knowledge management at the activity and project levels to ensure sustainability. The Evaluator considers that in this initial phase, the Project has achieved its planned objectives in the measure that a management system tool has been designed and some capacity building has been provided to use this tool for the purpose of enhancing partners participation. The succesfull continuation of the project and the achievement of the expected results and impacts, depends very much on the improvement of tools, awareness, responsibility and approaches to be used to better perform time management, scheduling and controlling of the timeline of project activities implementation. Thus will also positive reflects on the potential project risks prevention and mitigation. In order to sustain the future development of the next project activities, tasks and the related outputs, the Evaluator give several recomandations ads follow:

- A strengthening of time management by the partners and above all by InAQA that must be more committed to respecting the deadlines for the delivery of the requested project deliverables an outcomes, in order to ensure an efficient and productive project implementation and future project impacts;
- To enlarge the range of potential indirect stakeholders to which address the project information and future to disseminate project results;
- To use more active, the evaluation questionnaires and to extend them, as far is possible, to a larger audience, in order to gain useful feedbacks to use for the improvement of the project tasks, for the evaluation of the stakeholder's involvement and engagement.
- To dedicate a special attention to the next deadline for the implementation of the different project activities and tasks, considering in advance the potential risks for delay of the implementation process.



- Improvement the dissemination activities, providing a more clear timeline with all the project tasks deadlines;
- Improvement and better alignment of the monitoring activities on the different project activities implementation with the work plan and the estimated deadlines for the delivery of the related outcomes.
- Review the indicators that will be used to appraise project tasks progress and ensure they are linked and flow logically from the E-Quality statement (it should be clear that indicators should be a measure of essential aspects of the outcome statement).

The Evaluator Anselmo Caporossi